

## Notes of Lyon Street Area Housing Panel 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2008

---

### Present:

Richard Beal	<b>(RB)</b>	Keighley & Staveley TRA (Chair)
Bill Millett M.B.E.	<b>(BM)</b>	Mackwest TRA
Mary Barnard	<b>(MB)</b>	Fairdene Court TRA
Brian Potter	<b>(BP)</b>	Pleasant Place TRA
Charles Riley	<b>(CR)</b>	Rowstock Gardens TRA
Liz Colloppy	<b>(LC)</b>	Sturmer Way, 1 in 1000
Cllr Fieran –Reed	<b>(EFR)</b>	St Mary's Ward
Mary Bowman	<b>(MB)</b>	Guest – Sebbon Street
Kathy Daley	<b>(KD)</b>	Guest – Poynder Court
George Colloppy	<b>(GC)</b>	Guest – Sturmer Way
Garry Bates	<b>(GB)</b>	Senior Area Housing Manager (HFI)
Seval Halil	<b>(SH)</b>	Housing Services Manager (HFI)
Lyn Edwards	<b>(LE)</b>	Area Programme Manager (HFI)
Lee McDermott	<b>(LM)</b>	Community & Service Development Officer (HFI)

### Apologies:

Cllr Spall	<b>(LS)</b>	Caledonian Ward
Cllr Edwards	<b>(BE)</b>	Holloway Ward
Pat Croci	<b>(PC)</b>	Papworth Gardens, 1 in 1000 (LH)
Irene Spence	<b>(IS)</b>	Mersey Estate TRA
Sadie Lambert	<b>(SL)</b>	Lion Court 1 in 1000 (LH)
Kathy Martin	<b>(KM)</b>	Papworth Gardens, 1 in 1000

ITEM	Matter raised	Action
1.0	<p><b>Introductions</b></p> <p>Introductions were made.</p>	
2.0	<p><b>Petition Items</b></p> <p>LM advised the panel that petition had been postponed on the last occasion to allow opportunity for Petitioners to attend and make representations. LM advised that petitioners were written to previously and again before this meeting and that the AHO would like a decision to be made on this matter.</p> <p>BM advised that he attended the site and circulated photographs of the pitch and location and height of the fencing. BM advised the panel that he could see what more could reasonably be done to contain balls from leaving the pitch except netting.</p> <p>LM advised panel that netting had been installed and vandalised repeatedly over a period of two years and it was not practical or economically viable to continue to replace it.</p> <p>Panel voted on the matter and in the absence of any representation from the Petitioners agreed that the playground at Vibart Walk was not agreed, as it was an important local resource. LM to write to petitioners advising of the Panel's decision.</p>	LM
3.0	<p><b>Capital Programme Update</b></p>	
	<p>LE advised the panel she was on leave but wanted to update the panel on the progress of the works within LS. LE took the panel through report five in the panel papers.</p> <p>BP asked LE why Kier were the only contractor in the LS area? LE advised that this was due to an historic agreement with Kier and LBI and that none of the LS works were tendered as they came under PC 2000 agreement. LE advised that she would forward (in paper format) the agreement to BP.</p> <p>CR expressed serious concern that these contracts went directly to Kier without tenders being sought. LE advised again that this was due to the partnering agreement LBI had with Kier.</p> <p>EFR asked LE for an update on the works at Mersey Estate. LE advised that there were some delays and that works were due to start on the estate in first week of October.</p>	LE

	<p>CR asked LE for an update as to what was happening with the ventilation works at Pangbourne House. LE advised that she was not aware of this as it was not within her remit but she would find out.</p> <p>CR also wanted to know what was happening with the new lighting and door entry systems at Pangbourne and Moultsford Blocks. LE advised she did not know. LM suggested that these matters were managed by Alistair Gale in the Special Projects Team and it may be more sensible to request Alistair attend the next area housing panel and provide a full update including tenant compact. The Panel agreed.</p> <p>BP asked for clarification as to who is funding stage two of the new build in the special projects update?</p> <p>BP advised the panel that the leaseholders now have the option to opt out of the digital television upgrade and went onto ask if this would mean that LHs who had already had this work done and paid for would receive a refund.</p>	<p>LM</p> <p>LM</p> <p>LM</p>
<p><b>4.0</b></p>	<p><b>Minutes and Matter Arising</b></p> <p>Panel asked for an update on previous notes action item 3.3 regarding the removal of old aerial equipment when new digital systems have been installed. LM apologies that this matter was unanswered from previous meeting and advised that he would enquire and report back to the panel.</p> <p>Panel also requested that the notes also be numbered in future.</p>	<p>LM</p> <p>LM</p>
<p><b>5.0</b></p>	<p><b>Consultation Items:</b></p> <p><b>Report 1: Sustainability Strategy</b></p> <p>GB took the panel through this report and the following feedback was given:</p> <p>EFR advised that consideration to other environmental factors such as noise and location should be given when considering where double glazing should be installed.</p> <p>BP advised that secondary glazing should be considered as he had recently heard that it would take in excess of thirty years to recoup the cost of double-glazing in energy savings.</p> <p>CR asked why no properties over three stories were included in the cavity wall insulation programme? BP also wanted to know who would pay for these properties to be insulated?</p>	

<b>4.0</b>	<b>Information Items</b>	
<b>4.1</b>	<p><b>Report Two – Items considered at Board / Sub Board</b></p> <p>This report is for information only; Panel noted report.</p>	
<b>4.2</b>	<p><b>Report Three – Consultative Panels</b></p> <p>GB took the panel through the report and asked for information regarding how many fines and or warnings had been given to residents under the new dog fouling powers? BP asked for confirmation that HFI would not own but simply manage these properties DG advised that in this instance they were to be paid for by LBI so would remain the property of LBI.</p>	
<b>4.3</b>	<p><b>Report Four – Forward Plan</b></p> <p>This report is for information only; Panel noted report.</p>	
<b>5.0</b>	<b>AOB</b>	
	<p>BP advised the panel that Kiers Carpentry workshop had been relocated outside the borough and wanted it noted that the panel was disappointed with the jobs and facility leaving Islington.</p> <p>CR asked why the window on the 9<sup>th</sup> floor of the Moultsford has only had a temporary repair carried out to the broken window? CR also asked whether repairs would be carried out to garages as there were a number of leaking and damaged garages being let on the estate.</p> <p>BM advised that he had recently had cause to complain to HFI and was most happy with the timeliness and quality of the response.</p>	LM
	<b>Date of next Meeting: 17<sup>th</sup> November 2008</b>	